

Amendment/Response
Serial No. 09/544,349
Page 2

B1

- amounts of moisture to provide the cooked cereal dough with a moisture content of about 21 to 35%; and
- C. immediately thereafter, subjecting the cooked cereal dough to a second cooking step at a temperature of about 120 to about 194°C (248 to 380°F) for about 15 to 45 minutes to form an extended time cooked cereal dough having said discernible grain bits dispersed therein.

REMARKS

Following entry of this amendment, reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested. These changes have been made in accordance with statements presented by the Examiner in the Final Office Action previously issued in this case to clearly define the invention over the applied GB 1,050,307 reference. That is, although the parent application which resulted in U.S. Patent No. 6,291,008 already presents claims allowable over the GB reference, the scope of the claims in the present application are different such that, with this additional limitation, the claims simply define over this GB reference in a different fashion. In any event, given the indication that the claims would be allowable over the GB reference with this change made, withdrawal of this particular rejection by the Examiner is respectfully requested.

See
B1
B2
B3
B4
B5
B6
B7
B8
B9
B10
B11
B12
B13
B14
B15
B16
B17
B18
B19
B20
B21
B22
B23
B24
B25
B26
B27
B28
B29
B30
B31
B32
B33
B34
B35
B36
B37
B38
B39
B40
B41
B42
B43
B44
B45
B46
B47
B48
B49
B50
B51
B52
B53
B54
B55
B56
B57
B58
B59
B60
B61
B62
B63
B64
B65
B66
B67
B68
B69
B70
B71
B72
B73
B74
B75
B76
B77
B78
B79
B80
B81
B82
B83
B84
B85
B86
B87
B88
B89
B90
B91
B92
B93
B94
B95
B96
B97
B98
B99
B100

In addition to reconsidering the rejection of the claims based on the GB reference, the Examiner's attention is particularly drawn to an OG notice of January 14, 2003 regarding examination guidelines for 35 U.S.C. 102(e), wherein it is stated that "all pending U.S. Patent Applications being examined, and all U.S. Patents being reexamined, or otherwise being contested, whenever filed, are subject to the amended version of 102(e)." To this end, it is respectfully submitted that the prior U.S. Patent to the common assignee in the present application does not constitute prior art to the present case under

Amendment/Response
Serial No. 09/544,349
Page 3

FAX RECEIVED
MAY 19 2003
GROUP 1700

102(e), particularly as used in the Section 103 rejection set forth by the Examiner.
Therefore, reconsideration on this point is also respectfully requested.

Based on the above remarks, and the amendments to the claim 1, reconsideration of the claims in this RCE application is respectfully requested. If the Examiner should have any additional concerns regarding the points raised herein, he is cordially invited to contact the undersigned at the number provided below to further expedite the prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,



Everett G. Diederiks, Jr.
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 33,323

Date: May 16, 2003
DIEDERIKS & WHITELAW, PLC
12471 Dillingham Square, #301
Woodbridge, VA 22192
Tel: (703) 583-8300
Fax: (703) 583-8301